

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/685,395                 | 10/16/2003           | Toyoshi Nogami          | 040894-5968         | 6684             |
| 9629                       | 7590 06/15/2005      | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP |                      |                         | CAO, ALLEN T        |                  |
|                            | WASHINGTON, DC 20004 |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            |                      |                         | 2652                |                  |
|                            |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/685,395   | NOGAMI ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Allen T. Cao   | 2652  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |  |   |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- if the<br>- if NC<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION<br>nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re<br>operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period<br>ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu<br>reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE! | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | is action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠  | The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir Theoretical Control of the | re: a)  accepted or b)  objected or b objected or b objected or b objected or abeyance. See oction is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.            | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | •  |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) 🛛 Infor  | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/03.   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:  | ate<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/685,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

- 1. Figures 4 and 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (figures 4-5 and pages 1-4) in view of Ichihara et al (US. 6,683,839 B1).

Applicant's admitted prior art discloses a biaxial actuator for an optical pickup having a lens holder 1 including an objective lens 11, a tracking coil 15 and a focusing coil 12; and a base member 102 on which the lens holder is placed in such a way as to be able to displace in a focusing direction and in a tracking direction, the base member having bend-formed rising pieces (123, 123) each having a magnet 126 opposed to the

focusing coil; wherein the lens holder 1 is controlled in such a way as to displace in the focusing direction and in the tracking direction, all as set forth in claims 1-2 and 5.

Applicant's admitted prior art only discloses that the rising pieces have rectangular shape.

Applicant's admitted prior art neither disclose that the width of each the rising pieces increases toward the root over whole height thereof (claims 1 and 3) nor that a bend-formed portion provided at a root of each of the rising pieces in such a way as to be wider than width of any other part of the rising piece (claim 2 and 4-5).

Ichihara et al discloses an optical pickup having a lens holder including an objective lens 4; a carriage 3; carriage driving coil 17; a frank 31 of the carriage 3; two coil support plates (11 and 12) including an extension 13 which has a trapezoidal shape, wherein, the member (the plate 11 including the extension 13) has a width increases toward the root over whole height thereof (claims 1 and 3) and a root of each of the rising pieces in such a way as to be wider than width of any part of the rising piece (claims 2 and 4-5; the bottom portion of the trapezoidal is a widest part).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shape of the rising pieces of the optical pickup of Applicant's admitted prior art from rectangular to the shape as set forth in claims 1-5, supra as taught by Ichihara et al.

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to change the shape of the rising pieces of the optical pickup of Applicant's admitted prior art from rectangular to the shape as set forth in claims 1-3 and 5, supra

Application/Control Number: 10/685,395 Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

as taught by Ichihara et al to improve the rigidity (strength) characteristics of the rising pieces in order to reduce the vibrations of the actuator of the optical pickup, thus improve read/write characteristics of the optical head (see column 7, lines 13-17).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen T. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7569. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs (7:30 - 6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Menlin Sallen Cao

Primary Examinér

AC June 13, 2005